

# South East Corridor Youth Partnership Project Executive Committee Minutes

<b>Subject</b>	South East Metro Youth Partnership Project Executive Committee
<b>Date &amp; Time</b>	21 <sup>st</sup> July 2016
<b>Location</b>	Armadale Administration Building
<b>Chair</b>	Neil Kegie, City of Armadale
<b>Minute Taker</b>	Hannah Woodward (YPP)

---

## 1. Opening

### a. Welcome & Acknowledgement of Country

Neil Acknowledged that we are meeting on the country of the Wadjuk Nyoongar People and welcomed everyone to the meeting.

### b. Attendance

Present:

- Anthony Howson, Department of Corrective Services
- Neil Kegie, City of Armadale
- Karina Chicote, YPP Team Save the Children
- Christine Jackson – Department of Education
- Sue-Ellen Middleton, DLGC
- Robert Becker, Department of Child Protection and Family Support
- Maria Collazos, YPP Team, Save the Children
- Hannah Woodward, YPP Team, Save the Children
- Paul Mugambwa, WA Football Commission (Arrived at item 4)

### c. Apologies

Apologies have been received from:

- Marcus Murry – WA Police
- Kevin Taylforth – Community
- Mick Geaney – Hope Community Services
- Lisa Dobrin – City of Belmont
- Joel Warner – City of Belmont
- Chace Hill – Chair of the YLR
- Marg Buckman – Education
- Megan Lehaney – WA Police

- Chris Woods – City of Gosnells
  - Evan Hillman – City of Canning
  - Jim Hauraki – Hope Community Services
  - Matt Sharp – WA Police
- 

## **2. Acceptance of previous minutes**

### **a. Action list**

From 11 May Meeting

- 2.2.2: Neil to organise support for DCS Tender
  - Neil supported through City of Armadale and YPP
- 3.1.1.2 YPP Team consider if CAN work is aligned with YPP Objectives
  - Karina and Neil have met with CAN Chairs

From 16 June Meeting

- 1.4 YPP Team to distribute a simplified budget
  - Was distributed to those in attendance

### **b. Confirmation of Minutes**

*Minutes of the previous meeting dated 16 June 2016 were to be taken as a true and accurate record.*

---

## **3. Review of Executive Chair and Review of Committee Membership**

### **a. Election of YPP Executive Committee Chair**

Email has been circulated regarding the process for election of a new chair.

One nomination has been received from Neil Kegie. Neil left the room for the matter to be discussed.

*The committee resolved the Neil should be re-elected to the position of YPP Exec Committee Chair.*

***ACTION: An email detailing this will be circulated to all Exec. Chair members***

On behalf of the Youth Leadership Roundtable, Habiba thanked the chair for support of the YLR over the length of the project.

### **b. Recommendation for one representative from each dept./agency and one proxy to be named**

*Committee resolved that the positions on the Executive Committee are held by a position/role in the member agency.*

***ACTION: Email to be sent to current Exec. Contact list detailing decisions around this to all, and ask agencies to specify:***

- *which position holds their position on the Executive Committee,*
  - *who the individual in the position currently is and their up-to-date contact details; and*
  - *details of an appropriate proxy.*
- 

#### **4. Monitoring & Evaluation (KPMG)**

Karina updated on KPMG Progress for interim report, and provided an advanced idea of what the report will say. This was discussed.

Neil Kegie noted that the YLR & their involvement at various levels and with so many stakeholders is a significant output of the project which needs to be articulated and shared. In particular, how the Chair of the YLR sits on the Implementation Leadership Group for the reform process. The model we have developed for the YLR should be replicable in other areas, for example, we could encourage Mirrabooka to set up something similar, or build on the model of City of Belmont paying for consultation with the YLR endorsed by the Executive Committee and SEMHSRMF.

Robert Becker expressed concern that KPMG is reporting that policy makers don't think the Speak Out for Change Summit has had influence. He noted that perhaps influence shouldn't only be considered to be changes in policy or approach etc., as this is not change that would have happened quickly enough to be seen yet. The committee noted it would make sense for the YPP to "sharpen" the process around Speak out for Change Summit advocacy, and focus recommendations which align with the original YPP Funding agreement and with current focuses of Department of Premier and Cabinet.

***The Committee resolved that going forwards, the YPP needs to reiterate its focus on Youth Voice by drawing on methodologies of co-design, and also advocating for the YLR to be considered as a replicable and potentially scalable model.***

The meeting noted a need to respond to some of the criticism in the KPMG Report and ensure the report doesn't undersell the value of the last 2 years, and to speak more to some key outcomes of the YPP to date. Maria Collazos noted that in collective impact, it is important that emerging issues are addressed as they arise, and that is what we have done.

***ACTION: Organise a workshop with KPMG to help explain and better articulate the positive outcomes of the YPP to date.***

Paul Mugambwa commented that he has seen that being part of the collective impact approach of the YPP (particularly from the perspective of his involvement with the executive committee), has had an impact on other areas of his work. The Football Commission's approach to starting programs in different communities has become much more collaborative, and they have changed their approach in that they look to see what service providers are already operating the area, and work with them to provide mutually reinforcing activities & in providing a service which is more than "just football", which was

their approach in the past. This would be an unexpected, and possibly hidden, positive impact of the YPP.

---

## 5. Future Directions for YPP

Following on from the recommendations of the KPMG report, Anthony Howson suggested it would be useful for our next steps to include going back to the original funding agreement and ticking off any objectives that have yet to have been achieved.

Karina outlined the proposal that the YPP co-design would inform what an ideal early/secondary intervention response would look like, informed by the reform process, implement to trial, and document all stages. The YPP could then use this as a strategic approach to influence how services are procured and funded.

Karina emphasised that the difference would be that we wouldn't be using a standard tender process. Robert Becker agreed that this co-design provided us an important point of difference from agencies starting to include partnerships in their tenders for programs and services.

The committee noted it made sense to be focussed on the areas of Youth Justice, and draw on recommendations of the Speak Out for Change Summit report in areas of youth justice, violence, drugs and alcohol, even bullying and education, as they are all interlinked, and youth justice provides an "entry point" to look at all these issues. At the same time, this would also allow the YPP to return to a focus of the original funding agreement in youth justice, prevention and diversion.

Anthony Howson emphasised that our approaches should be focused on secondary or even early intervention levels, as relatively few young people don't actually end up in the tertiary end of the justice system. The meeting highlighted that we can have a strong role in focusing on prevention to ensure young people are able to access the services that prevent them from getting to that tertiary end. It was noted again that the best course of action would be to build on or extend the AYIP School Holiday Program Model, as it is an existing example, and AYIP would most likely to be interested in being involved.

It was suggested that the Armadale Family Support Network might be an important link for this upcoming project. As they have a broader and more family orientated focus, and more in the secondary intervention areas, some young people are likely to be involved with both networks. Using a collective impact approach, we want to be working with the AFSN, rather than duplicating their services. Robert Becker suggested that potentially a Parkerville Representative (as backbone organisation for the AFSN) should be invited to sit on the YPP Executive Committee.

The meeting discussed a potential gap in trying to figure out what wraparound services young people need, and perhaps the YPP can be the backbone to coordinate that. It was noted that as a result of the AYIP School Holiday program this support was provided, but out of goodwill rather than being an

organised system. It was noted that this may help to address a criticism from the KPMG evaluation in that the brokerage funding wasn't used as originally intended.

The meeting discussed that an important next step would be for the YPP Team to work with the AYIP to identify the key successes of the AYIP model, and decide how we would like to further develop it moving forwards into a more stable model, with measurable outcomes, based on co-design, and addressing additional gaps or priority areas. Sue-Ellen Middleton suggested a model which can be applied to different communities would probably be an ideal outcome from DLGC's perspective, especially one which has been implemented and has clear outcomes to show.

***The Committee put forward the following priorities:***

- I. Further develop and formalise the AYIP model***
  - a. Use a co-design approach, incorporating the YLR and other young people with lived experience to:***
    - i. Build on key successes of AYIP collaboration including School Holiday Program***
    - ii. Develop and embed a structured model for client-centred coordination of collaborative, wrap-around services***
  - b. Document the processes and structure; and***
  - c. Test through implementation and show clear outcomes and learnings***
- II. Document the Youth Leadership Roundtable model, so that it can be shared and replicated by others***
- III. Speak Out for Change Summit Report***
  - a. Explore possibility of partnering with YACWA to develop an implementation strategy where recommendations are delegated to the appropriate agency or body to implement them.***

---

Though discussion was not complete, the meeting was closed at 4:00 pm due to another group needing to use the room.

***ACTION: Another meeting to be organised in the next few weeks to finish the discussion of this meeting***