

# South East Corridor Youth Partnership Project

## Executive Committee

Thursday 15 October 2015  
1:00-3:00PM

WAPOL SEM District Office Meeting Room, 8 Burton Street, Cannington WA 6107

---

**Members Present:** Ross Wortham (RW) (Save the Children – Lead Agency) Neil Kegie (NK) (Chairperson, City of Armadale) Christine Jackson (CJ) (Department of Education) Robert Becker (RB) (Department of Child Protection and Family Support) Sue-Ellen Middleton (SM) (DLGC) Courtney Lyon (CL) (Youth Leadership Roundtable Member) Habiba Asim (HA) Andrew Yarran (AY) (Youth Leadership Roundtable Member) Jenna Woods (JW) (Youth Leadership Roundtable Chair) Aaron Gibson (DCPFS) Matt Sharp (MS) (WAPOL)

**Apologies:** Suleila Felton (SF) (Department of Corrective Services) Marg Buckman (MB) (Department of Education) Rachael Ralston (RR) (Youth Leadership Roundtable Member) Dianna Wright (DW) (Youth Leadership Roundtable Member) Jay Martin (JM) (KPMG) Piumi Abeywardana (PA) (KPMG) Debra Zanella (DZ) (Hope Community Services) Matt Sharp (MS) (WA Police) Rebekah Milnes (RM) (City of Armadale) Anania Tagaro (AT) (Senior Project Officer, Save the Children – Lead Agency) Emma Jarvis (EJ) (Hope Community Services) Janet Berg (JB) (Department of Local Government and Communities) Chris Woods (CW) (City of Gosnells) Jay Moore (JM) (Department of Corrective Services- Youth Justice) Acacia Sealey (AS) (WA Football Commission) Kevin Taylforth (Ambassador) Ian Westmore (IW) (WA Police) Andrea Nixon (AN) (Department of Child Protection and Family Support) Rob Stevenson (RS) (WA Police) Ian Eardley (IE) (Directions WA) Shawn Boyle (SB) (Shawn Boyle and Associates) Moira Clancy (MC) (Corrective Services) Sophie Harrington (SH) (Hope Community Services) Scott Faulkner (SF) (WA Football Commission) Isaac Stewart (IS) (WA Football Commission) Dawn France (PCYC) Penny Sandover (PS) (Department of Local Government and Communities) Fiona Stanley (FS) (City of Armadale)

**Facilitator:** Neil Kegie (NK) (Chairperson, City of Armadale)

**Minute Taker:** Junie Baptiste-Poitevien (JBP) (Save the Children)

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
Agenda Items				
1.	<b>Acknowledgement of Country/Welcome and Introduction</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JW provided an Acknowledgement of Country to the Nyoongar peoples.</li> </ul>		
1.	<b>Apologies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW provided apologies for: Debra Zanella , Isaac Stewart, Kevin Tayforth, Ian Westmore, Paul Mugambwa, and Anania Tagaro.</li> </ul>		
3.	<b>Minutes and Actions Arising</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NK queried the group on any discussions or concerns about the August meeting minutes.</li> <li>The group discussed the items actioned from last meeting's minutes:</li> <li>RW briefed the group on a meeting with WAFC on activities for young people attending youth-based engagement programs. He added that WAFC was piloting the program with Save the Children and Ignite Basketball.</li> <li>RW informed the group that AYIP will meet next week regarding a collective evaluation project. The meeting will also focus on training AYIP members on developing program logic.</li> <li>The skills/interests matrix of YLR members was still a work in progress, as the YLR focused on recruiting new members.</li> </ul>	<p>RW to inform AYIP's program logic</p> <p>AT to finalise skills/interests matrix of YLR members</p>	By 10 December
4.	<b>YPP's role on DPC's reform agenda</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW provided an update about the youth justice reinvestment reform in which NK, RW, JW and PC sit on the implementation group.</li> <li>RW briefed the group on the reform and the implementation process. The IPP was driven by leadership of government, key NGOs and young people as a two-year pilot to evaluate youth services on Aboriginal communities in Mirabooka and (?). RW</li> </ul>		

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		<p>added that YPP was identified as a showcase site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RB stated that YPP was well ahead into the project development and could be mirrored as a better practice example to other groups.</li> <li>• RB added that YPP's successes were not foreseen as a possibility that it may diverge away from the opportunity to be a pilot project for the IPP.</li> <li>• NK supported RB's statement by adding that the implementation of the YLR and the professional development aspect to it would have added value for IPP's implementation pilot.</li> <li>• RB mentioned that the unintended consequence of YPP's success was a disappointment as the intent was to change policy around youth.</li> <li>• RW stated that as a showcase project we should focus on enhancing the collaboration and improving procurement of future services and the continuity of these services. Then, YPP will have the capacity to influence investment of services to the community. He emphasized that YPP should progress on DLGC's objectives and acknowledged the strong representation of members sitting at the Executive level to attain them.</li> <li>• JW supported the group's discussion by expressing her experience sitting on the implementation group of the IPP. She witnessed the procurement process and funding contracts of services and assessed these services' impact on individuals.</li> <li>• NK added that the IPP implementation group were impressed with JW and PC's engagement and participation. It was viewed as a great tool to inform decision-makers on the significance of young people's voices in the implementation process.</li> <li>• RW added that YPP was also an important instrument for the IPP implementation process.</li> </ul>		
5.	<b>DG Briefing Agenda</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RW mentioned that Jennifer Matthews supported YPP in the preparation of the DG briefing scheduled for 12 November 10AM-</li> </ul>	YLR to provide feedback on the	

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		<p>12PM in which targeted government agencies were invited to partake in a dialogue on what YPP aims to achieve.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RW mentioned that the agenda will include the initial stages of the evaluation and a teaser of the Youth Recommendations Summit Report. RW added that the agenda should avoid delving into the recommendations in order to not detract these potential agencies from attending the launch.</li> <li>• RB stated that key messages should focus on how YPP's work was a practice to inform issues on the group to RMF and it can effectively be used.</li> <li>• NK added that the message should reinforce the ongoing support of a backbone leadership to drive collaboration of services.</li> <li>• RW suggested that members of the Executive should provide some experiential messages as a result of the YPP.</li> <li>• MS recommended sharing individual stories of the YLR and how services have impacted them based on the collaboration that occurred through YPP.</li> <li>• RW mentioned that telling individuals' stories engaged in programs and services could be linked to the MSC evaluation.</li> <li>• RB stated that KPMG will be able to render real stories of individuals engaged in programs and services.</li> <li>• RB recommended reminding agencies of the number of days left to the project in order to incite agencies to keep in mind of the development for change and how the reform can change.</li> <li>• RW stated that the messages need to identify the policy, the funding amount, YPP's model and how it can deliver the policy's objectives. He also added that we should restate the situation before the PwC case study.</li> <li>• MS and NK shared that the group should provide observational testimonial of few agencies, such as WAPOL and DCS.</li> <li>• NK informed that through conversations with policy makers, the have queried on how do we get YPP model embedded in policy.</li> </ul>	<p>DG briefing agenda messages</p>	

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW agreed by adding that policy change was effected in the (policy WA?). The YLR was consulted and changed the perception of government on labelling young people as youth at-risk.</li> </ul>		
6.	<b>Speak Out for Change Summit Report Launch</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW announced to the group that the Youth Recommendations Summit Launch will occur on the 19<sup>th</sup> of November at Perth Town Hall 11AM-1PM.</li> <li>JW stated that invitations would be sent out to participants and observers who attended the Summit to create a feedback loop.</li> </ul>		
7.	<b>Brokerage Funding</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Most Significant Change</b></li> <li><b>School Holiday Program (AYIP)</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW briefed on the possibility of applying the brokerage funding during school holiday period when offending spike occur the most. YMCA, HS, WAPOL, CoA, DoE, SCA, Communicare and Headspace would deliver specific targeted activities for 15 known offenders in Armadale. These groups proposed to apply for the youth engagement scheme to run activities at a cost of \$8,000. RW shared his concern about the path to choose on applying the brokerage funding. He provided options in which part of the funds would go to funding school holiday programs and the rest of the cost for training on MSC. It would serve as a tool to elle stories of the implementation of school holiday programs and the yielded impact on individuals.</li> <li>RB agreed that the youth engagement scheme for school holiday activities should get a part of the brokerage funding.</li> <li>RW reminded the group that the brokerage funding was added to the Social Innovations grant application to ensure that the money goes into developing a program that help to fill in a gap.</li> <li>MS supported the idea of using the brokerage funding for both the MSC and School Holiday Program.</li> <li>NK mentioned that the Executive was in constant contact with DLGC's contract manager to ensure the brokerage funding is applied properly.</li> <li>RB queried about an acquittal for the potential School Holiday</li> </ul>	RW to use brokerage funding for the school holiday program and MSC training  RW to verify acquittal for school holiday programs	

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		<p>Program and how it applied to the critical strategy of the YPP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The group agreed.</li> </ul> <p><b>Most Significant Change</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW briefed the group on MSC's ability to collect and share stories and how to implement it. He added that Save the Children uses this evaluation tool in programs internationally. RW proposed to the group that Clear Horizons could help YPP implement this evaluation tool it in the community sector of Perth.</li> <li>Clear Horizons introduced the organization as an evaluation organisation focusing on MSC and used in WA. They introduced MSC as a complementary tool to a quantitative evaluation. They mentioned that MSC could synthesize the stories YPP wished to advocate to the RMF.</li> <li>RW informed the group about the cost of MSC which included staff training.</li> <li>The group agreed to discuss with the CANs on which programs can be piloted for MSC.</li> <li>RB suggested starting small and witnessing the ripple effect of collecting stories.</li> <li>A presentation of MSC by Clear Horizon followed.</li> </ul>		
8.	<p><b>General Update:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Annual Report</b></li> <li><b>YLR Recruitment</b></li> <li><b>Youth CAN Regional Action Plan</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>Annual Report</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RW provided the group with the recent release of YPP's first Annual Report and suggested to hand out copies at the DG briefing.</li> </ul> <p><b>YLR Recruitment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JW explained the selection process of the recruitment of the YLR in which candidates were selected based on merit. 12 people applied and 6 members were selected for to be interviewed. JW</li> </ul>	<p>RW &amp; AT to hand out Annual Report at DG briefing</p> <p>AT to inform the group on new</p>	

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		<p>informed the group that interviews will be conducted end of October.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RW stated that there would be an induction process for new members of the YLR.</li> </ul> <p><b>Youth CAN Regional Action Plan</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RW discussed that the Regional Action Plan was still a work in progress. It was a process that has strategically been planned through the CANs which focused on how to improve collaboration amongst services within their own region. RW mentioned that the positive outcome of the Regional Action Plan was the strategic approach element and the ideas came from the services on the ground. He briefed the group on the focus areas the CANs chose, such as advocacy, collaboration, funding process. RW stated that the most important element to support the Regional Action Plan was the Youth Recommendations.</li> <li>• NK proposed that the Regional Action Plan Focus Areas avoid being issue-specific.</li> <li>• SM informed the group on grant application for National Youth Week closing on Thursday 22 October.</li> </ul>	members of the YLR	
9.	<b>Wrap-Up, Next Steps &amp; Close</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. RW to inform AYIP's program logic</li> <li>2. AT to finalise skills/interests matrix of YLR members</li> <li>3. YLR to provide feedback on the DG briefing agenda messages</li> <li>4. RW to use brokerage funding for the school holiday program and MSC training</li> <li>5. RW to verify acquittal for school holiday programs</li> </ol>		

Item No.	Item	Action/Outcome	By Whom	By When
		6. RW & AT to hand out Annual Report at DG briefing 7. AT to inform the group on new members of the YLR		
10.	<b>MEETING Closed 3:00PM</b>			
<b>DATE OF NEXT MEETING: Thursday 17 December 1.00PM-3.00PM</b>				